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#### Abstract

In this paper, a novel design method for quad-band Wilkinson power dividers is proposed. The design method is based on using a quad-band microstrip line. In comparison with other design methods, the proposed method has the advantages of simplicity and low insertion loss. To validate the proposed method, an equal quad-band power divider operating at four bands of $0.7,1.2$, 1.78 , and 2.28 GHz was simulated and measured. Good agreement between measured results and simulated ones is obtained. The measured results show that the developed quad-band power divider features low insertion loss of less than 0.75 dB , isolation greater than 20.92 dB , and return loss better than 18 dB at four operating bands.
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## 1 Introduction

The power divider (PD) is an important component in communication systems. Various types of power dividers with improved features have been proposed [1-8]. Among them, multi-band PDs are attracting more and more attention. In work [9], a compact dual-band PD was designed using a $\pi$-shaped structure. In that PD, each quarter-wavelength transmission line was replaced by a dual-band equivalent $\pi$-shaped structure. Thanks to this, the circuit was capable of working on 2 bands. In [10,11], a dual-band PD was fabricated by employing a T-shaped structure. The authors in [12] used metamaterial structure to design tri-band PD with high isolation and good return losses. Up to now, there have been a few publications on quad-band power dividers. In work [13], a quad-band power divider was designed by using particle swarm optimization to calculate characteristic impedances and electrical lengths of transmission lines in the scheme. The disadvantages of this method include the use of an approximate method based on a complex optimization algorithm, and the scheme requires up to four isolation resistors, which exacerbate parasitic effects at high frequencies. In work [14,15], quad-band power dividers combined with filtering features were proposed. In [16], a quad-band power divider based on SIW technology was presented. However, the circuit in [16] has drawbacks of high loss and poor isolation. A fully planar quad-band PD based on an extended composite right and left-handed transmission line (E-CRLH-TL) was designed in [17]. The divider presented in [17] also has the disadvantage of high insertion loss. In literature, it is found that most of the reported design methods for quad-band power dividers are only applied to the design of equal quadband power dividers and have losses above 1 dB .

In this paper, we propose a simple method to design equal quad-band Wilkinson PDs with low loss. In addition, we have verified through theory and simulation that the proposed method can be extended to design unequal quad-band Wilkinson PDs. The proposed method is based on a quad-band microstrip line. The circuit can be designed by closed-form equations. The design equations given in the paper provide a convenient way to design the equal and unequal quad-band power PDs. To evaluate the effectiveness of the design method, a quad-band power divider was designed, manufactured, and tested. The good agreement between the simulation and measurement results validated the feasibility of the proposed design method.

## 2 Theory and design equations of equal quad-band PDs

The traditional Wilkinson power divider circuit is shown in Fig. 1 [18]. The circuit consists of one input port (Port 1) and two output ports (Port 2 and Port 3) with characteristic impedance $Z_{0}$ of $50 \Omega$. In the circuit, the input line is separated into two branches with characteristic impedances $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$. Each of them is the quarter-wavelength microstrip line. The resistor $R_{S}$ connected between two branches to increase the isolation between the output ports.


Fig. 1. Conventional equal Wilkinson power divider

[^0]The values of impedances $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are calculated below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}=Z_{2}=\sqrt{2} Z_{0} \approx 70.71 \Omega  \tag{1}\\
& R_{S}=2 Z_{0}=100 \Omega \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To make the power divider in Fig. 1 work at four bands, the transmission lines $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ need to be replaced by equivalent quad-band microstrip lines. Figure 2 shows a model of the quad-band microstrip line [19]. A transmission line with characteristic impedance
$Z_{C}$ and electrical length $\lambda / 4$ is deformed to be equivalent to a quad-band microstrip line. The quadband microstrip line is composed of a $\pi$-shaped structure and two coupled lines. The $\pi$-shaped structure consists of a series transmission line having characteristic impedance $Z_{A}$ and electrical length $2 \theta$ and two shortcircuited shunt stubs having characteristic impedance $Z_{B}$ and electrical length $\theta$. Two coupled lines have the same parameters of even- and odd-characteristic impedance ( $Z_{e}$ and $Z_{o}$ ) and electrical length $\theta$.


Fig. 2. A quarter-wavelength transmission line with characteristic impedance $Z_{C}$ and its equivalent quad-band microstrip circuit

Matrix ABCD of the quad-band microstrip line is determined by the product of ABCD matrix of each section as follows.

$$
\boldsymbol{M}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{3}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{K} & B_{K} \\
C_{K} & D_{K}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{B} & B_{B} \\
C_{B} & D_{B}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{A} & B_{A} \\
C_{A} & D_{A}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{B} & B_{B} \\
C_{B} & D_{B}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{K} & B_{K} \\
C_{K} & D_{K}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{K} & B_{K} \\
C_{K} & D_{K}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & j \frac{\left(Z_{e}+Z_{o}\right) \sin \theta}{2} \\
j \frac{2 \sin \theta}{Z_{e}+Z_{o}} & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{B} & B_{B} \\
C_{B} & D_{B}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-j \frac{\cot \theta}{Z_{B}} & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{A} & B_{A} \\
C_{A} & D_{A}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 2 \theta & j Z_{A} \sin 2 \theta \\
j \frac{\sin 2 \theta}{Z_{A}} & \cos 2 \theta
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

The ABCD matrix of transmission line with characteristic impedance $Z_{C}$ and electrical length $\lambda / 4$ is defined below.

$$
\boldsymbol{M}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & j Z_{C}  \tag{4}\\
j & 0 \\
Z_{C} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Conditions for quad-band microstrip line to be equivalent to the quarter-wavelength transmission line $Z_{C}$ are determined as follows. By equalizing the elements of two matrices $\boldsymbol{M}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}_{2}$, the following equations are obtained.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tan \theta= \pm \frac{2 Z_{C}}{Z_{K}}\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{\left(Z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}\right)^{2}}{64 Z_{C}^{4}}} \pm \frac{Z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}}{8 Z_{C}^{2}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& Z_{A}=Z_{K}\left(\frac{Z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}}{16 Z_{C}^{2}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& Z_{B}=\frac{Z_{K}^{3}}{8 Z_{C}^{2}}\left(\frac{Z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}}{4 Z_{C}^{2}-Z_{K}^{2}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $Z_{K}=Z_{e}+Z_{o}$.
It is assumed that two circuits are equivalent at four bands $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\left(f_{1}<f_{2}<f_{3}<f_{4}\right)$ and corresponding electrical lengths $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$, and $\theta_{4}$. Then, the electrical lengths must satisfy the following conditions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{2 z_{C}}{z_{K}}\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{\left(z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}\right)^{2}}{64 z_{C}^{4}}}-\frac{z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}}{8 Z_{C}^{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{8}\\
& \theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{2 z_{C}}{z_{K}}\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{\left(z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}\right)^{2}}{64 z_{C}^{4}}}+\frac{z_{K}^{2}+4 Z_{C}^{2}}{8 Z_{C}^{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{9}\\
& \theta_{3}=\pi-\theta_{2}  \tag{10}\\
& \theta_{4}=\pi-\theta_{1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The following relations between four operating frequencies are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}=f_{1} \frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{1}}, f_{3}=f_{2}\left[\frac{\pi}{\theta_{2}}-1\right], f_{4}=f_{1}\left[\frac{\pi}{\theta_{1}}-1\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A quad-band power divider is obtained by replacing quarter-wavelength transmission lines $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ of the conventional Wilkinson PD in Fig. 1 with quad-band microstrip lines. The proposed quad-band PD is presented in Fig. 3.


Design parameters of the equal quad-band PD are calculated by using Eqns. (5-12). The design procedure of the equal quad-band PD is summarized as follows:

1. Select the operating frequencies $f_{1}, f_{4}$
2. From (12), define electrical length $\theta_{1}$
3. From (8), set $Z_{C}=70.71 \Omega$ and calculate impedance $Z_{K}$
4. From (9), define electrical length $\theta_{2}$
5. Determine frequencies $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ from (12)
6. Calculate $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ by Eqns (6) and (7)

In the next part, we will study the design limitations of the proposed equal quad-band PD. The circuit can be fabricated by normal PCB technology if characteristic impedances $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ of the scheme have values between $15 \Omega$ and $120 \Omega$. The reason is that if the impedance of a transmission line is too high, the width of the line will be too narrow and difficult to manufacture. Vice versa, if the impedance is too low, the width of the transmission line will be too large and the loss will be high. Set $n_{1}=f_{4} / f_{1}$ and $n_{2}=f_{3} / f_{2}$. Based on Eqns. (6-12), the dependences of impedances $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ versus the coefficients $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are illustrated in Fig. 4. As observed from Fig. 4, to keep the impedances $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ in the range from $15 \Omega$ to $120 \Omega$, operating frequencies of the proposed quad-band PD must satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2.75 \leq \frac{f_{4}}{f_{1}} \leq 4.5, \quad 1.44 \leq \frac{f_{3}}{f_{2}} \leq 1.58 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 3. The proposed structure of equal quad-band PD


Fig. 4. (a) Dependence of characteristic impedances $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ versus coefficients $n_{1}$.
(b) Dependence of characteristic impedances $Z_{A}$ and $Z_{B}$ versus coefficients $n_{2}$.

## 3 Theory and design equations of unequal quad-band PDs

The conventional unequal quad-band PD is presented in Fig. 5 [18]. The schematic of the unequal quad-band PD is quite similar to that of the equal quad-band PD (Fig. 1). The differences are that characteristic impedances of the output ports $Z_{a}$ and $Z_{b}$ are different from $Z_{0}$, and the value of isolation resistor $R_{a}$ is calculated according to power split ratio. Two branches have characteristic impedances $Z_{a 1}$ and $Z_{a 2}$. We denote $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ the powers at ports 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. The power split ratio between port 2 and port 3 is $K^{2}=\frac{P_{3}}{P_{2}}$.


Fig. 5. Schematic of the conventional unequal quad-band PD

According to [18], the following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{a 1}=Z_{0} \sqrt{K\left(1+K^{2}\right)}  \tag{14}\\
& Z_{a 2}=Z_{0} \sqrt{\frac{1+K^{2}}{K^{3}}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{a} & =Z_{0} K  \tag{16}\\
Z_{b} & =\frac{Z_{0}}{K}  \tag{17}\\
R_{a} & =Z_{0}\left(K+\frac{1}{K}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since output impedances $Z_{a}$ and $Z_{b}$ are different from standard impedance $Z_{0}(50 \Omega)$, these impedances need to be converted to $Z_{0}$. The modified unequal quadband PD is presented in Fig. 6.


Fig. 6. Modified unequal Wilkinson PD

Impedances $Z_{a 3}$ and $Z_{a 4}$ are determined by the following expressions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{a 3}=\sqrt{Z_{0} \cdot Z_{a}}=Z_{0} \sqrt{K}  \tag{19}\\
& Z_{a 4}=\sqrt{Z_{0} \cdot Z_{b}}=\frac{Z_{0}}{\sqrt{K}} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

To make the power divider work at four bands, the transmission lines $Z_{a 1}, Z_{a 2}, Z_{a 3}$, and $Z_{a 4}$ are replaced with quad-band microstrip lines (blocks) $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$, respectively. Then, the proposed unequal quad-band PD is presented in Fig. 7.


Fig. 7. Schematic of the proposed unequal quad-band PD

The design parameters of 4 blocks $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ can be determined by using the design procedure of the equal quad-band PD in Section 2. In this case, it should be noted that the value $Z_{C}$ in step 3 of the design procedure for blocks $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ will receive the values $Z_{a 1}, Z_{a 2}, Z_{a 3}$, and $Z_{a 4}$, respectively.

For demonstration, an unequal quad-band PD with a power split ratio $K^{2}=P_{3} / P_{2}=1.5$ and four operating bands of $0.9,1.68,2.55$, and 3.33 GHz is designed. Applying Eqns. (14-20), impedances of the PD circuit in Fig. 6 are calculated as $Z_{a 1}=87.49 \Omega, Z_{a 2}=58.33 \Omega$, $Z_{a 3}=55.33 \Omega, Z_{a 4}=45.18 \Omega, R_{a}=102 \Omega$. Then, the design parameters of 4 blocks $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{4}$ are determined as follows.

- For block $\mathrm{Q}_{1}: Z_{C}=Z_{a 1}=87.49 \Omega, Z_{K 1}=114.15$ $\Omega, Z_{A 1}=40.68 \Omega, Z_{B 1}=60.28 \Omega, \theta=38.3^{0} \Omega$
- For block $\mathrm{Q}_{2}: Z_{C}=Z_{a 2}=58.33 \Omega, Z_{K 2}=76.11 \Omega$, $Z_{A 2}=27.13 \Omega, Z_{B 2}=40.2 \Omega$
- For block $\mathrm{Q}_{3}: Z_{C}=Z_{a 3}=55.33 \Omega, Z_{K 3}=72.19 \Omega$, $Z_{A 3}=25.73 \Omega, Z_{B 3}=38.12 \Omega$
- For block $\mathrm{Q}_{4}: Z_{C}=Z_{a 4}=45.18 \Omega, Z_{K 4}=58.94 \Omega$, $Z_{A 4}=21.01 \Omega, Z_{B 4}=31.11 \Omega$.
Based on the above calculation results, the unequal quad-band PD is simulated using Keysight ADS software. The ideal simulated S-parameters of the unequal quad-band PD are presented in Fig. 8.


Fig. 8. Ideal simulated $S$-parameters of the unequal quad-band PD with power split ratio $K^{2}=1.5$, and operating frequencies $0.9,1.68,2.55$ and 3.33 GHz

From Fig. 8, it is clear that insertion losses of ports 3 and 2 at four bands have values of $2.2 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~dB}$ and 3.98 $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{~dB}$, respectively. Therefore, the simulation results are consistent with the theory with errors below 0.02 dB . Other scattering parameters including $S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{33}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{23}$ are better than 40 dB at four operating bands. These simulation results verify the accuracy of the proposed design method.

Next, the fabrication limitation of the unequal quadband PD circuit is investigated. We denote that $Z_{\mathrm{Amin}}=$ $\min \left\{Z_{\mathrm{A} 1}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 2}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 3}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 4}\right\}, Z_{\mathrm{Amax}}=\max \left\{Z_{\mathrm{A} 1}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 2}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 3}, Z_{\mathrm{A} 4}\right\}$;
$Z_{\mathrm{Bmin}}=\min \left\{Z_{\mathrm{B} 1}, Z_{\mathrm{B} 2}, Z_{\mathrm{B} 3}, Z_{\mathrm{B} 3}\right\}, Z_{\mathrm{Bmax}}=\max \left\{Z_{\mathrm{B} 1,}, Z_{\mathrm{B} 2}, Z_{\mathrm{B} 3}\right.$, $\left.Z_{\mathrm{B} 4}\right\}$. To make the circuit feasible for fabrication, all characteristic impedances in the circuit must be between $15 \Omega$ and $120 \Omega$. It requires that $Z_{\mathrm{Amin}}, Z_{\mathrm{Amax}}, Z_{B \min }$, and $Z_{\mathrm{Bmax}}$ must be in the range from $15 \Omega$ to $120 \Omega$. Based on equations (14-20) and (6-12), the dependences of impedances $Z_{\text {Amin }}, Z_{\text {Amax }}$ and $Z_{\text {Bmin }}, Z_{\text {Bmax }}$ against coefficients $n_{1}=f_{4} / f_{1}$ and $n_{2}=f_{3} / f_{2}$ with powers split ratios $\mathrm{K}^{2}$ of 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3 are plotted in Figs. 9 and 10.


Fig. 9. (a) The dependence of characteristic impedance $Z_{\mathrm{Amin}}$ and $Z_{\mathrm{Amax}}$ versus coefficients $n_{1}$. (b) The dependence of characteristic impedances $Z_{\mathrm{Amin}}$ and $Z_{\text {Amax }}$ versus coefficients $n_{2}$.


Fig. 10. (a) The dependence of characteristic impedance $Z_{B \min }$ and $Z_{\mathrm{Bmax}}$ versus coefficients $n_{1}$. (b) The dependence of characteristic impedances $Z_{\mathrm{Bmin}}$ and $Z_{\mathrm{Bmax}}$ versus coefficients $n_{2}$.

From Figs. 9 and 10, with given power split ratios, the allowed ranges of frequency ratios $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ can be determined to ensure the manufacturing conditions of the circuit. In particular, with the power split ratio $K^{2}=$ 1.5 , four working frequencies of the circuit must satisfy the following conditions:

$$
3.1 \leq \frac{f_{4}}{f_{1}} \leq 4.45, \quad 1.46 \leq \frac{f_{3}}{f 2} \leq 1.56
$$

Furthermore, based on Figs. 9 and 10, it can be proven that the proposed method for designing unequal quadband Wilkinson PDs can achieve power split ratios of not more than 2 .

## 4 Fabrication and measurement of quad-band power

To validate the proposed design method, an equal quad-band PD is designed, fabricated, and tested on Rogers RO4003C substrate with a relative dielectric constant of 3.55 and thickness of 0.813 mm .

Applying the design procedure presented in Section 2, parameters of the scheme are calculated. Firstly, we choose frequencies $f_{4}=2.28 \mathrm{GHz}$ and $f_{1}=0.7 \mathrm{GHz}$. Then, these frequencies are substituted into (12), and electrical length $\theta_{1}$ is defined as $\theta_{1}=42^{\circ}$. From (8) and (9) the values of $Z_{K}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are computed as $Z_{K}=83.91 \Omega$ and $\theta_{2}=72.56^{\circ}$. From (12) the operating frequencies $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are defined as $f_{2}=1.2 \mathrm{GHz}, f_{3}=1.78 \mathrm{GHz}$. Substituting the values of $Z_{K}$ and $Z_{C}$ into (6) and (7) we have $Z_{A}=28.36 \Omega$ and $Z_{B}=30.82 \Omega$. Figure 9 shows the fabricated prototype of the proposed PD. The total area of the PD is $89.2 \mathrm{~mm} \times 75.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Fig. 11. Fabricated equal quad-band PD with operating frequencies $0.7 \mathrm{GHz}, 1.2 \mathrm{GHz}, 1.78 \mathrm{GHz}$, and 2.28 GHz (a), and experimental setup (b)

The design program Keysight ADS is used for simulation. A Vector Network Analyzer PNA-X N5242A is employed to measure S-parameters of the fabricated quad-band PD (Fig. 11b).

Measurement and simulation results of parameters $S_{21}$ and $S_{31}$ are shown in Fig. 12. The measured results and simulated ones match well with each other. The slight difference between simulation and measurement is mainly caused by SMA connectors which were not deembedded in the measurement. From Fig. 12 it is clear that measured insertion losses of ports 2 and 3 at four design frequencies are $3.30 / 3.32 \mathrm{~dB}, 3.24 / 3.31 \mathrm{~dB}$, $3.43 / 3.50 \mathrm{~dB}$ and $3.72 / 3.75 \mathrm{~dB}$, respectively. Therefore, the measured insertion losses of ports 2 and 3 at four central frequencies are consistent with the theoretical values ( 3 dB ) with errors of $0.30 / 0.32 \mathrm{~dB}, 0.24 / 0.31 \mathrm{~dB}$, $0.43 / 0.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ and $0.72 / 0.75 \mathrm{~dB}$, respectively. These losses are relatively small compared to those of other equal quad-band PDs.

The simulation and measurement of isolation and return losses of the fabricated PD are shown in Fig. 13. It can be seen that the simulation results agree with the measurement ones. Moreover, the isolation level between two output ports is higher than 20.92 dB , and return losses are better than 18 dB at four design bands.

The measured results of AI and PI of the designed circuit are shown in Fig. 14. The amplitude imbalance (AI) and phase imbalance (PI) between the two output ports are determined by expressions

$$
\mathrm{AI}=\left|\mathrm{S}_{21}-\mathrm{S}_{31}\right|, \quad \mathrm{PI}=\left|\operatorname{Phase}\left(\mathrm{S}_{21}\right)-\operatorname{Phase}\left(\mathrm{S}_{31}\right) .\right|
$$

It is clear that amplitude imbalance and phase imbalance are lower than 0.25 dB and $2^{\circ}$, respectively.

Finally, measured performance comparisons between the proposed PD and other published works are shown in Tab. 1.


Fig. 12. Simulation and measurement results of parameters $S_{21}$ (a) and $S_{31}$ (b)


Fig. 13. Simulation and measurement of $S_{23}(a)$, and $S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{33}$ (b) of the proposed PD


Fig. 14. Measurement result of the proposed quad-band PD: (a) amplitude imbalance, (b) phase imbalance

Table 1. Comparisons of the measured performances of the proposed equal quad-band PD with other reported equal muti-band PDs

| Ref. | Operation | $f(\mathrm{GHz})$ | $\Delta(\mathrm{dB})$ | $\mathrm{IS}(\mathrm{dB})$ | RL $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[20]$ | Triple-band | $3.49 / 4.13 / 5.57$ | $<1.5$ | $>14.2$ | $>19$ |
| $[12]$ | Triple-band | $2.4 / 3.5 / 5.2$ | $<1$ | $>27$ | $>42$ |
| $[14]$ | Quad-band | $1.2 / 1.66 / 1.89 / 2.16$ | $<1$ | $>16.3$ | $>15$ |
| $[16]$ | Quad-band | $3.34 / 4.82 / 6.17 / 7.66$ | $<1.85$ | $>12$ | $>19$ |
| $[15]$ | Quad-band | $1.24 / 2.43 / 3.54 / 4.63$ | $<1.75$ | $>5$ | $>10$ |
| $[13]$ | Quad-band | $0.5 / 1 / 1.5 / 2$ | $<1.5$ | $>20.7$ | $>18$ |
| $[21]$ | Quad-band | $0.898 / 1.789 / 2.712 / 3.581$ | $<0.95$ | $>28.6$ | NI |
| $[17]$ | Quad-band | $2.4 / 3.5 / 5.2 / 5.8$ | $<1.2$ | $>18$ | $>16$ |
| $[22]$ | Quad-band | $0.5 / 1.3 / 2.2 / 3$ | $<2$ | NI | $>19$ |
| This work | Quad-band | $0.7 / 1.2 / 1.78 / 2.28$ | $<0.75$ | $>20.92$ | $>18.14$ |

In Tab. 1, we denote that IS - isolation, RL - return loss, $\Delta$ - the largest difference from theoretical values of insertion loss, NI - no information.

It can be seen that the proposed circuit exhibits a low loss compared to previously reported quad-band PDs, while it still ensures good return loss and isolation.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, a simple method to design quad-band PDs is proposed. A quad-band microstrip line is utilized to replace quarter-wavelength transmission line of the conventional Wilkinson PD. Design equations and procedures have been derived for designing the quadband PDs. Compared with previously reported works, the proposed quad-band PD has the advantage of low insertion loss. Other parameters, such as return loss and isolation reach well. The proposed quad-band PD is prospective for modern microwave systems.
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