Evaluation of frame-induced compressive stress on the magnetic properties of stator cores using the excitation inner core method

Mohachiro Oka*, Masato Enokizono**

To improve the efficiency of electric motors, we developed the excitation inner core method to evaluate the magnetic properties such as the iron loss of the actual stator core. After preparing two stator cores with a frame, we examined the frames influence of compressive stress by applying the standard and small excitation inner core methods to evaluate the iron loss of both stator cores having a frame. After removing the frames of the two stator cores, we evaluated the iron loss of two stator cores without the frames again by applying both methods.
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1 Introduction

Recently, the applications of motors have expanded into new kinds of equipment such as drones, rovers, and robots. Increasing motor efficiency has become an important research topic. It is well known that the iron loss of the stator core, which has a complex shape, increases by compressive and residual stress caused by a frame [1-5]. To solve the problem, the technology that measures the iron loss of an actual stator core is necessary. However, a general method of evaluating the iron loss of the stator core has not been yet established. Therefore, we developed the excitation inner core method to evaluate the circumferential and axial distribution of the iron loss of the actual stator core [6-8]. In this study, we are presenting two variations on of the excitation inner core method. Moreover, we evaluated the influence that the frame exerted on the iron loss of the stator core using these two methods.

2 Excitation inner core method, specimen, and evaluation of iron loss

2.1 Two kinds of the excitation inner core method

Figure 1 shows schematic diagrams of two kinds of the excitation inner core method. Figure 1 (a) shows the standard excitation inner core method (the standard core method). The thickness of the standard excitation inner core was 65 mm. Figure 1 (b) shows the small excitation inner core method (the small core method). The thickness of the small excitation inner core was 10 mm. The two kinds of excitation inner core were made of a silicon steel sheet (50A350) and laminated in the same direction as a stator core. The opening angle of their feet was 60-degrees. To avoid generating interlinkage magnetic flux in two excitation cores, two excitation coils were connected each other.

2.2 Specimens

We prepared two stator cores with a frame. The iron loss of the two stator cores having the frame was eval-
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uated using the standard and small core method. Afterwards, the frame was removed from both stator cores. The iron loss of the two stator cores without the frame was again evaluated using both methods. To examine the influence of two frames on the iron loss, we compared the iron loss in both cases. We used the totally-enclosed fan-cooled type three-phase induction motor stator core with 36 teeth (1.5 kW, 200 V, 50 Hz or 60 Hz in common, and 4-poles) as a specimen. The stator core was 65 mm thick, with an outside diameter of 157 mm, and an inside diameter of 95 mm.

The compressive stress that the stator core received from the frame was calculated by using the finite element method [9]. The condition of the calculation was as follows. The frame was imitated in the aluminum (A2027) cylinder of 10 mm in thickness. Moreover, the stator core was imitated by the carbon steel for the ordinary structure. A shrink-fitted margin was $20\,\mu\text{m}$. In the numerical result, magnitude of compressive stress was 10-20 MPa on the stator core.

### 2.3 Evaluation of iron loss ($W_i$)

The iron loss $W_i$ (W/kg) of the stator core was evaluated using (1), where $H_{ex}$ (A/m) and $B_{ex}$ (T) are the magnetic field strength and magnetic flux density of a stator core, respectively and $\rho$ in (kg/m$^3$) is the density of the core material while $T$ (s) is the period of the excitation current. Further, $I_{ex}$, $N_e$, $L_e$, $N_s$, and $S$ (m$^2$), are the excitation current, the number of turns of the excitation coil, the effective magnetic path length, the number of turns of the search coil, and the sectional area of the excitation inner core, respectively and $v_s$ is the induced voltage in the search coil. To evaluate $W_i$, we estimated $L_e$ using the distribution of the magnetic flux density in the stator core which was analyzed using the 2D FEM [6].

The hysteresis loss $W_h$ (W/kg) and the eddy-current loss $W_e$ (W/kg) were calculated by the dual frequency separation method using $W_{i50}$ when $f_{ex}$ is 50 Hz and $W_{i100}$ when $f_{ex}$ is 100 Hz.

$$W_i = \frac{1}{\rho T} \int H_{ex} \frac{dB_{ex}}{dt} \, dt,$$

$$H_{ex} = \frac{N_e I_{ex}}{L_e},$$

$$B_{ex} = -\frac{1}{N_s} \int v_s \, dt$$

### 3 Measurement system, and conditions

#### 3.1 Measurement system

The block diagram of the measurement system to evaluate $W_i$, $W_h$, and $W_e$ is shown in Fig. 2. The waveform of the excitation voltage was controlled by feedback so that the excitation magnetic flux density ($B_{ex}$) in the excitation inner core, became a sinusoidal wave. The $I_{ex}$ was measured from the voltage generated in the shunt resistor. Additionally, $W_i$ was calculated using measured $v_s$ and $I_{ex}$. In this experiment, $B_{ex,max}$ was 1.5 T, and $f_{ex}$ was 50 and 100 Hz.

![Fig. 2. Measurement system](image)

![Fig. 3. Measurement position](image)
3.2 Measured circumferential and axial position

Figure 3(a) shows measured the circumferential positions. The circumferential distribution of $W_i$ was measured in 10 degree steps, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The axial distribution of $W_i$ was measured at the edge (A), the quarter (B), and the center (C) of the stator core as shown in Fig. 3(b).

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Circumferential distribution of $W_i$, $W_h$, and $5$ with and without frames using the standard core method

Figure 4 shows the circumferential distribution of $W_i$ with the frame and without frames, when $B_{ex,max}$ was 1.5 T, and $f_{ex}$ was 50 Hz. When the stator core did not have frames, $W_i$ in the vicinity of 90 and 270 degrees was greater than that at other angles, due to the rolling direction of the stator core. When the stator core had frames, $W_i$ in the vicinity of 0, 90, 180, and 270 degrees was greater than that at other angles, due to the rolling direction of the stator core and compressive stress caused by the frame. We propose that the compressive stress from the frame increased $W_i$ in those parts.

4.2 Circumferential and axial distribution of $W_i$ with and without frames using the small core method

Figure 5(a) shows the circumferential and axial distribution of $W_i$, when the stator core had frames, whereas Fig. 5(b) shows the circumferential and axial distribution of $W_i$ without frames. In the latter case, $B_{ex,max}$ was 1.5 T, and $f_{ex}$ was 50 Hz. As Fig. 5 indicates, $W_i$ with the frame was greater than that without frames at all three axial measurement positions. $W_i$ at the center of the stator core changed by about 2.0% with and without the frame. At the edge of the stator core, $W_i$ changed by about 6.2%.

4.3 Circumferential and axial distribution of $W_i$, $W_h$, and $W_e$ at the edge of the stator core with and without the frame using the small core method

Figure 6 shows the circumferential distribution of $W_i$, $W_h$, and $W_e$ at the edge (A), when $B_{ex,max}$ was 1.5 T, and $f_{ex}$ was 50 Hz. In Fig. 6(a) and 6(b), $W_i$ and $W_h$ with the frame were greater than that without the frame. As both figures suggest, compressive stress was a cause of this difference. As Fig. 6(c) shows, $W_e$ slightly increased at the edge. As that Figure suggests, compressive stress hardly influences $W_e$. 

Fig. 4. Distribution of $W_i$(the standard core method) 

Fig. 5. Circumferential and axial distribution of $W_i$(the small core method): (a) – with the frame, and (b) – without the frame

Fig. 6. Circumferential and axial of at the edge of the stator core with and without the frame(the small core method): (a) – $W_i$, (b) – $W_h$, and $W_e$
5 Conclusions

In this paper, the circumferential and axial distributions of the iron loss of actual stator cores with and without the frame were measured using the standard and small excitation inner core methods. Consequently, we attained the following results.

- The proposed excitation inner core methods clearly evaluated the iron loss of the actual stator core.
- The compressive stress caused by the frame which installed on the stator core greatly influenced the iron loss of the stator core;
- Iron loss increased greatly at the edge, which indicates that the compressive stresses at the edge of the stator core was greater than that at the center.
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